

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,138	08/30/2001	Wallace K. Dyer	04118-0104 (43076-250892)	9300
6980	7590 07/27/2004	EXAMINER		
TROUTMA	N SANDERS LLP		EPPERSO	N, JON D
BANK OF A	MERICA PLAZA, SUI	TE 5200		
600 PEACHTREE STREET, NE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, GA 30308-2216			1639	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edutorison for item raps for stables used the provision of 3° CPR 1.136g), in no event, however, may a roply be timely filted. Edutorison for the price and stable used the provision of 3° CPR 1.136g), in no event, however, may a roply be timely filted. If the period for reply separation shows it less than then (201 days, a roply while the stabled or million to make the replication to the stable of the period for reply will, by a date does not be application in become MANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Failure to may while the set or extended period for reply will, by a datalety priod will apply and will explore (50 (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to make the provision of the communication of the communication of the communication. Failure to make the provision of the communication of		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Jan D Epperson 1633	Office Action Commons	09/943,138	DYER, WALLACE K.				
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheef with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Educations of turn may be available under the provisions of 3/CR1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled 1. The period for reply septided above is less then thirty (20) days, a reply the timely filled 1. If the period for reply septided above is less then thirty (20) days, a reply be timely filled 1. If the period for reply is specified some is less than thirty (20) days, a reply day and to dept of SM, MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period and the septides of the communication of the period of the reply will be defined by a device of the period of the period of the mailing date of the communication is period of the mailing date of the communication of the mailing date of the communication is period of the mailing date of the communication is period of the mailing date of the communication is period of the mailing date of the communication is period of the mailing date of the communication is period of the mailing date of the communication is period of the mailing date of the communication is period of the mailing date of the communication is period of the mailing date of the second of the mailing date of the second of the period of the mailing date of the second of the period of the mailing date of the second of the period of the mailing date of the second of the mailing date of the second of the period of the period of the period of the period of the mailing date of the second of the mailing date of the second of the period of the mailing date of the second of the period of the mailing date of the second of the period of the	Omice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - set set of MONTHS from the miss and the procedure of 3 °CFR 1.136(s). In no event, lowever, may a reply be timoly field - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the miss and the procedure of 3 °CFR 1.136(s). In no event, lowever, may a reply be timoly field - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the miss and the procedure of 3 °CFR 1.136(s). In no event, lowever, may a reply be timoly field - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the miss and the miss and the miss and the set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing and set sit the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. - set sit x (s)							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Editacions of time may be variable under the provision of 37 CPR 1.39(a). In on event, lowevert, may a repty be timely filed allete SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It No period for reply is specified under the provision of the state of	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 May 2004. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ∑ Claim(s) 1.4.7-11.13.15 and 17-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15 and 17-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 □ Claim(s)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.4,7-11,13,15 and 17-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15 and 17-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s)	Status						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.4,7-11,13,15 and 17-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15 and 17-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 May 2004.						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
A) □ Claim(s) 1.4.7-11.13.15 and 17-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15 and 17-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
4) Claim(s) 1.4.7-11.13.15 and 17-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15 and 17-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) objected or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 30 Notice of References Cited (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 15 and 17-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4,7-11,13 and 20-29 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 20 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 15 and 17-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4,7-11,13 and 20-29 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Portsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,7-11,13 and 20-29</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers 9	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 7/15/04. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colonic None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 7/15/04. Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 7/15/04. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 7/15/04. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 7/15/04 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s) 1) M Nation of References (the differences)						
	 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te. <u>7/15/04</u> .				

Application/Control Number: 09/943,138

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination (RCE)

1. A request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection (i.e., see 5/17/04 Response). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/3/2004 has been entered. Claims 1, 4, 7-11, 13 and 15-29 were pending. Applicants canceled claim 16 and amended claims 1, 4, 7, 13, 15, 17, 18, 20-23, 28 and 29. Therefore, claims 1, 4, 7-11, 13, 15 and 17-29 are currently pending. An action on the merits follows.

Those sections of Title 35, US code, not included in the instant action can be found in previous office actions.

Request for Rejoinder

2. The Examiner acknowledges Applicant's request for rejoinder per MPEP 821.04 (e.g., see 3/3/2004 Response, page 8, last paragraph). However, this provision only takes effect when the product claim is found to be allowable, which is not the case here (e.g., see 35 USC § 102(b) rejection below). Therefore, claims 15 and 17-19 remain withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to non-elected inventions. In addition, the Examiner notes the following:

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable,

Application/Control Number: 09/943,138

Art Unit: 1639

withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Withdrawn Objections/Rejections

3. All outstanding objections and/or rejections are hereby withdrawn in view of Applicant's arguments and/or amendments.

New Rejections

Claims Rejections - 35 U.S.C. 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1639

4. Claims 1, 4, 7-11, 13, and 20-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ersek et al. (US Patent No. 5,336,263) (Date of Patent is **August 9, 1994**) as evidenced by the Polymer Products from Aldrich Reference (of record) (**Please note**: that MPEP 2131.01(d) permits the citation of references or evidence in an anticipation rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102 in order to show that a characteristic not disclosed in the reference is inherent).

For *claims 1, 4, 7 and 22*, Ersek et al. (see entire document) disclose biphasic compositions for the treatment of urological and gastric fluid disorders (e.g., see abstract), which anticipate claims 1, 4, 7 and 22. For example, Ersek et al. disclose biocompatible micronized textured polyethylene particles having a size greater than sixty microns (e.g., see abstract; see also column 3, lines 23-26, "The <u>textured micro particles</u> have a nominal unidimensional measurement ... between about <u>80 and 600 microns</u> [i.e., 80 > 60]"; see also paragraph bridging columns 5-6, "For soft tissue ... [a] desirable material for the textured particles ... [is] <u>polyethylene</u>"). In addition, Ersek et al. disclose various physiological carriers including polyvinylpyrrolidone (e.g., see column 3, lines 37-53, "Examples of appropriate physiologic vehicles [i.e., physiological carriers] are ... polyvinylpyrrolidones").

For *claims 8-11 and 24-27*, Ersek et al. do not disclose any K values for the polyvinylpyrrolidones (PVP) used therein; however, Ersek et al. disclose a polyvinylpyrrolidone with a molecular weight of 13,700 (e.g., see Example 2), which would <u>inherently</u> possess a K between 13-19 (e.g., see Polymer Products from Aldrich Reference, page 5, Table III, citing "GAF(ISP) Technical Bulletin 2302-203 SM-1290, "PVP polyvinylpyrrolidone Polymers", wherein the relationship between the K-value and

Art Unit: 1639

the molecular weight and/or intrinsic viscosity of PVP has been calculated and clearly shows that that the K value for the PVP is in the range of 13-19 because 13,700 M_w falls within the ~ 12,000 M_w range). "When the PTO shows a sound basis for believing that the products of the applicant and the prior art are the same, the applicant has the burden of showing that they are not." *In re Spada*, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). The Office does not have the facilities to make such a comparison and the burden is on the applicants to establish the difference. See *In re Best*, 562 F.2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977) and *Ex parte Gray*, 10 USPQ 2d 1922 1923 (PTO Bd. Pat. App. & Int.).

For *claims 13 and 23*, Ersek et al. disclose an "approximately" 3:2 ratio by weight carrier to particles (e.g., see column 9, lines 25-27, "The mixture utilized was approximately 38% by weight of the polymer particles and 62% of the gel material [i.e., 62% gel / 38% particles = 1.6, which is "approximately" 1.5 or a 3/2 ratio by weight]"; see also paragraph bridging columns 5-6 wherein Ersek et al. disclose that polyethylene can be substituted for poly(dimethylsiloxane) disclosed in Example I; see also column 8, lines 61-63 wherein Ersek et al. disclose that this "ratio" represents a mere design choice).

For *claims 20-21 and 28-29*, Ersek et al. disclose particles having a size greater than 100 microns (e.g., see column 3, lines 23-26, "The <u>textured micro particles</u> have a nominal unidimensional measurement ... between about <u>80 and 600 microns</u> [i.e., 600 > 100]").

Art Unit: 1639

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jon D Epperson whose telephone number is (571) 272-0808. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on (571) 272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-0811.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jon D. Epperson, Ph.D. July 15, 2004

ANDREW WANG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600